# FULL PAPER

Houng G. Park · Shung-Chang Jong

# Molecular characterization of *Monascus* strains based on the D1/D2 regions of LSU rRNA genes

Received: April 15, 2002 / Accepted: October 12, 2002

Abstract The D1/D2 regions of the large subunit (LSU) rRNA genes of 65 strains of Monascus and Xeromyces were PCR amplified and sequenced in both directions. Maximum-parsimony analysis produced five most parsimonious trees. The strict consensus tree of these five parsimonious trees clustered M. eremophilus, M. ruber, M. pilosus, M. purpureus, and M. sanguineus in the same clade, reflecting high sequence similarity. M. sanguineus, M. purpureus, M. ruber, and M. pilosus differed in one or two nucleotides. The sequence of M. eremophilus ATCC 62925 isolated from a xerophilic environment differed from M. purpureus in only one nucleotide, despite pronounced morphological and ecological differences when compared with the other species. M. lunisporas, M. floridanus, M. pallens, and X. bisporus were each placed in a separate branch, confirming their taxonomic descriptions as individual species. Maximum-likelihood analysis on the same data set generated a single tree and grouped the species of the first clade in the parsimony analysis into a single clade but placed the rest of the Monascus species and Xeromyces bisporus on different branches. The trees inferred from both analyses revealed a monophyletic relationship between Monascus and Xeromyces, when compared with other related cleistothecial or imperfect genera.

Key words D1/D2 region · Large subunit (LSU) rRNA gene · Monascus.

# Introduction

Strains of the genus Monascus van Tieghem have been used in the preparation of various types of oriental fermented

H.G. Park  $\cdot$  S.-C. Jong ( $\boxtimes$ )

American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), 10801 University Blvd, Manassas, VA 20110-2209, USA Tel. +1-703-365-2742; Fax +1-703-365-2730

e-mail: sjong@atcc.org

foods and are important in the production of food coloring. They are also a source of biologically active compounds (Endo et al. 1986; Juzlova et al. 1996).

Based on physiological and morphological characteristics, Hawksworth and Pitt (1983) recognized three species of Monascus: M. pilosus K. Sato, M. ruber van Tieghem, and M. purpureus Went. Monascus ruber is distinguished from *M. pilosus* by the presence of a brownish pigment in its cleistothecial walls and conidia. These structures are hyaline in M. pilosus. Monascus purpureus was differentiated from *M. pilosus* by much slower growth on certain types of agar.

Barnard and Cannon (1987) described M. floridanus Cannon & Barnard, isolated from the roots of sand pine trees in Florida. This species shares many features with M. *ruber*: neither produces soluble pigments, but both have brown-pigmented ascomatal walls and conidia. Monascus floridanus differs from the other species by its slower growth rate and smaller ascospores and conidia. Hocking and Pitt (1988) described a xerophilic species, M. eremophilus Hocking & Pitt, which differed from the other species in its slow growth rate, the absence of an anamorph, and the requirement for extremely dry conditions. However, its morphology and biogenesis of ascospores were consistent with the description of the genus. Cannon and coworkers (1995) reported two additional species, M. pallens Cannon, Abdullah & Abbas and M. sanguineus Cannon, Abdullah & Abbas, based on the size of ascospores and colonies, pigmentation, and enzymatic profiles. Udagawa and Baba (1998) described M. lunisporas Udagawa & Baba, unique for lunate ascospores and dark olive-brown ascomata. Although most of these species are considered members of the genus, the taxonomic classification of Xeromyces bisporus Frazer, which requires a very dry environment (0.61a<sub>w</sub>), has been very controversial. It was once considered a distinct species of *Monascus* (von Arx 1970) but was later classified as a closely related but separate genus (Pitt and Hocking 1982). Xeromyces bisporus is distinguished from Monascus species by the presence of colorless and sessile ascomata and two-spored asci.

The current criteria for the species delimitation and description of *Monascus* and *Xeromyces* consist of morphological and physiological characteristics. However, because the pigmentation of cultures and the shape and the size of spores, which are major features for species delimitation, can be influenced by slight environmental differences and are subject to mutation, the species boundaries dictated by morphological and physiological features need to be reexamined using molecular information.

Comparative analyses of the nucleotide sequences of the D1/D2 regions of the large subunit (LSU) rRNA genes were carried out to determine the suitability of the D1/ D2 region as a genetic marker to differentiate species and the inter- and intraspecies variability among these sequences.

## **Materials and methods**

#### Cultivation of strains

Sixty-four strains of *Monascus* and 2 strains of *Xeromyces* were obtained from cryopreserved material at ATCC. The strains were cultivated using one of six agar or broth media at 25° or 30°C for approximately 7 days (Table 1). The media formulations included Blakeslee's formula (ATCC medium 325: malt extract 20g, glucose 20g, peptone 1g, and agar 20g per liter); PDA (ATCC medium 336: diced potatoes 300g, glucose 20g, and agar 15g per liter); Emmon's modification of Sabouraud's agar [ATCC medium 28: Sabouraud's glucose broth 30g (Difco 0382) and agar 20g per liter]; Harrold's M40Y (ATCC medium 319: malt extract 20g, yeast extract 5g, sucrose 400g, and agar 20g per liter); malt agar (ATCC medium 323: Difco 0024); and malt extract agar (ATCC medium 324: malt extract 20g, peptone 5g, and agar 15g per liter).

The species identity of each strain was confirmed by observing the size, shape, and pigmentation of conidia, conidiophores, or ascomata. Growth rates were measured 7 days after inoculation on malt extract agar at 25°C. The production of soluble pigments, the size of colonies, and the pigmentation of mycelia were noted (Hawksworth and Pitt 1983; Barnard and Cannon 1987; Cannon et al. 1995) (Table 2). Synonyms acknowledged by Hawksworth and Pitt in 1983 are in parentheses.

## Isolation of genomic DNA

Mycelia were harvested by centrifugation at  $13800 \times g$  for 5min with a microfuge. Genomic DNAs were isolated according to the method of Cenis (1992) with some modification. Mycelia were placed in yeast lysis matrix tubes (Bio101, Vista, CA, USA) and subjected to vigorous agitation in a FastPrep FP120 shaker (Bio101) for two 40-s intervals at a setting of 4.0. To 50µl DNA solution, 0.25µl (0.5µg/µl) RNase (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN, USA) was added, and the mixture was incubated for 30min at 30°C. Genomic DNAs were used for PCR. The concent

tration of genomic DNA was determined by comparing band intensity with a molecular weight standard on an agarose gel and UV absorbency at 260nm measured by a GeneQuant Pro RNA/DNA calculator (Biochrom, Cambridge UK). Isolated genomic DNA was stored in a  $-80^{\circ}$ C mechanical freezer.

#### Polymerase chain reaction

The D1/D2 regions of the LSU rRNA genes, positions 63 through 633 relative to the 5'-end of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae LSU rRNA gene (Georgiev et al. 1981), were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from the genomic DNA using the following two primers (O'Donnell 1993): F63, GCTGAACTTAAGCATATCAATAAGC GGAGGAAA, and R635, TAGACTCCTTGGTCCG TGTTTCAAGACGGGC. Each of the 50-µl PCR reaction mixtures consisted of two ready-to-go PCR beads (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ, USA), 4µl template genomic DNA (20ng), 1µl each primer (10 pmol), and  $44 \mu l$  deionized H<sub>2</sub>O. The amplifications were carried out using a GeneAmp PCR system 9700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the following steps: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, 30 cycles of 94°C for 30s, 55°C for 2 min, 72°C for 2min, and an additional 72°C for 5min before maintaining the mixture at 4°C (O'Donnell 1993). DNA molecules of about 600 nucleotides were amplified, and the PCR products were cleaned with Qiaex reagents following the manufacturer's protocol (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA, USA).

### Sequencing

The cycle sequencing reactions were carried out using a Big Dye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit (Applied Biosystems). The reaction mixtures consisted of  $4\mu$ l (50 ng) DNA template,  $0.5\mu$ l primer (5pmol),  $8\mu$ l Big Dye terminator, and 7.5 $\mu$ l deionized H<sub>2</sub>O for a total volume of 20 $\mu$ l. The cycle sequencing program was as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5min, 25 cycles at 95°C for 30s, 50°C for 30s, 60°C for 4min, and an additional 60°C for 7min before storing the sample at 4°C. The extension products were purified with Centri-Sep spin columns (Princeton Separations, Adelphia, NJ, USA) before being loaded onto an ABI 377 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

The sequencing gel (5% acrylamide) was cast with a LongRanger Singel pack (BioWhittaker Molecular Applications, Rockland, ME, USA). The sequences were tracked and extracted with the ABI Prism 377–96 data collection software. Primers F63, R635, NL2 (CTCTCTTTTCAAA GTTCTTTCATCT), and NL3 (AGATGAAAAGAAC TTTGAAAAGAAGAG) were used for sequencing (O'Donnell 1993). Sequence information was submitted to GenBank, National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

Table 1. Strains studied and GenBank accession numbers for the D1/D2 regions of the genes

Strain	Species <sup>a</sup>	Medium <sup>b</sup>	Temperature (°C)	GenBank accession no.
ATCC 64205	Monascus floridanus, type	336	25	AF364960
ATCC 64206	Monascus floridanus	324	25	AF364961
ATCC 66695	Monascus floridanus	324	25	AF364962
ATCC 66696	Monascus floridanus	324	25	AF364963
ATCC 15670	Monascus ruber, type	336	25	AF364964
ATCC 16363	Monascus pilosus, type	325	25	AF364965
ATCC 16365	Monascus purpureus, type	325	30	AF364966
ATCC 200612	Monascus pallens, type	325	25	AF364967
ATCC 200613	Monascus sanguineus, type	325	25	AF364968
ATCC 204397	Monascus lunisporas, type	319	25	AF364969
ATCC 46597	Monascus purpureus (kaoliang)	336	30	AF364970
ATCC 46592	Monascus purpureus (kaoliang)	330	30	AF3049/1
ATCC 46595	Monascus purpureus (kaoliang)	330 226	30	AF304972 AE264072
ATCC 40394	Monascus purpureus (kaoliang)	226	30	AF304975 AF364074
ATCC 40393	Monascus purpureus (kaoliang)	336	30	AF304974 AF364075
ATCC 62040	Monascus pilosus	336	25	AF364975
ATCC 16368	Monascus pilosus Monascus pilosus (rubropunctatus)	28	25	AF364977
ATCC 16433	Monascus sp	325	30	AF364978
ATCC 16434	Monascus sp.	325	30	AF364979
ATCC 16772	Monascus sp.	325	30	AF364980
ATCC 16774	Monascus sp.	325	30	AF364981
ATCC 16775	Monascus sp.	325	30	AF364982
ATCC 16966	Monascus sp. (barkeri)	325	30	AF364983
ATCC 96218	Monascus ruber	336	30	AF364984
ATCC 16367	Monascus purpureus (rubiginosus)	325	25	AF364985
ATCC 16362	Monascus purpureus (major)	325	25	AF364986
ATCC 16361	Monascus purpureus (araneosus)	325	30	AF364987
ATCC 58358	Monascus ruber	28	25	AF364988
ATCC 13692	Monascus ruber	28	25	AF364989
ATCC 48162	Monascus purpureus	336	30	AF364990
ATCC 6405	Monascus purpureus	336	25	AF364991
ATCC 16358	Monascus purpureus (albidus var. glaber)	325	30	AF364992
ATCC 16360	Monascus purpureus (anka)	325	30	AF364993
ATCC 16436	Monascus purpureus	325	30	AF364994
ATCC 16366	Monascus ruber	28	25	AF364995
ATCC 16371	Monascus ruber (vitreus)	28	30	AF364996
ATCC 66229	Monascus ruber	336	25	AF364997
ATCC 34892	Monascus purpureus	336	25	AF364998
ATCC 22080	Monascus ruber (paxu)	330 226	25	AF304999
ATCC 20657	Monascus ruber Monascus miber	330	25	AF305000 AE265001
ATCC 16385	Monascus ruber	323	25	AF303001 AF365002
ATCC 10365	Monascus ruber Monascus pilosus (sarorubascans)	325	23	AF303002 AF365003
ATCC 16246	Monascus ruber	336	25	AF365004
ATCC 16370	Monascus sp	325	30	A F365005
ATCC 16384	Monascus sp. Monascus ruber	325	30	AF365006
ATCC 36113	Monascus purpureus	336	25	AF365007
ATCC 36114	Monascus purpureus	336	25	AF365008
ATCC 36928	Monascus purpureus (anka)	336	25	AF365009
ATCC 46598	Monascus purpureus	336	30	AF365010
ATCC 16379	Monascus purpureus (anka var. rubellus)	325	30	AF365011
ATCC 16357	Monascus purpureus (albidus)	323	30	AF365012
ATCC 16378	Monascus ruber (fuliginosus)	325	30	AF365013
ATCC 16427	Monascus purpureus	325	25	AF365014
ATCC 16435	Monascus sp.	325	30	AF365015
ATCC 16437	Monascus sp.	325	30	AF365016
ATCC 16666	Monascus sp.	325	30	AF365017
ATCC 16773	Monascus sp.	325	30	AF365018
ATCC 18199	Monascus ruber	336	25	AF365019
ATCC 28298	Xeromyces bisporus	319	25	AF365020
ATCC 34570	Monascus sp.	336	30	AF365021
ATCC 36964	Xeromyces bisporus	319	25	AF365022
ATCC 62925	Monascus eremophilus, type	319	25	AF365023
ATCC 26264	Monascus purpureus	336	25	AF365024

<sup>a</sup> Species names in parentheses are synonyms; Type, type strain <sup>b</sup>Numbers indicate ATCC medium designations (Jong and Edwards 1996)

Species	Color of mycelium	Pigment secreted	Shape of ascospores	Size of ascospores	Color of ascomata
M. floridanus					
5	Olive-green	No pigment	No ascospores		
M. ruber	6	0	-		
	White	No pigment	Ellipsoidal	$5-7 \times 3-4.5 \mathrm{\mu m}$	Dark orange
M. pilosus			a.	-	)
	White	No pigment	No ascospores		
M. purpureus			4		
	Strong orange	Orange	No ascospores		
M. pallens	0	0			
	Light grav	No pigment	Subglobose to ellipsoidal	$3.7-4.7  imes 3.0-3.3 \mathrm{mm}$	Hvaline
M. sanguineus	5 2 2	0	<b>-</b>	-	
C	Gravish-red	Strong orange	Ellipsoidal	$5-7 \times 4-6 \mathrm{mm}$	Orange-brown
M. lunisporas	2	с С	-	-	C
T	Olive-brown	No pigment	Lunate	$5-6 imes2.7-3\mathrm{\mu m}$	Orange-brown
Xeromyces bisporus		-			)
	Dark yellow	No pigment	Globose to subglobose	$4.5-6 \times 3-6 \mathrm{wm}$	Hvaline
Monascus eremophilus	à	-	0	-	'n
4	White	No pigment	Subglobose to ellipsoidal	$5-7 \times 5.5-6.3 \mu \mathrm{m}$	Hyaline to orange-brow
were made after 7 days grow	wth on malt extract agar	at 25°C, except xerop	hilic species		
	Species M. floridanus M. ruber M. pilosus M. purpureus M. pallens M. sanguineus M. lunisporas M. lunisporas Xeromyces bisporus Monascus eremophilus were made after 7 days gro	Species     Color of mycelium       M. floridanus     Olive-green       M. ruber     White       M. pilosus     White       M. purpureus     Strong orange       M. pallens     Light gray       M. sanguineus     Grayish-red       M. lunisporas     Olive-brown       Xeromyces bisporus     Dark yellow       Monascus eremophilus     White	SpeciesColor of myceliumPigment secreted $M.$ floridanus $M.$ floridanus $No pigment$ $M.$ ruber $White$ $No pigment$ $M.$ ruber $White$ $No pigment$ $M.$ purpureus $White$ $No pigment$ $M.$ purpureus $Strong orangeOrangeM. purpureusStrong orangeOrangeM. pallensLight grayNo pigmentM. pallensLight grayNo pigmentM. pallensCrayish-redStrong orangeM. hunisporasOlive-brownNo pigmentM. hunisporasM. hunisporasNo pigmentM. hunisporasM. hunisporasNo pigmentM. hunisporasM. hunisporasNo pigment$	SpeciesColor of myceliumPigment secretedShape of ascospores $M.$ floridatus $M.$ floridatus $Olive-greenNo pigmentNo ascosporesM. ruberWhiteNo pigmentNo ascosporesNo ascosporesM. pilosusWhiteNo pigmentNo ascosporesNo ascosporesM. pilosusWhiteNo pigmentNo ascosporesNo ascosporesM. purpureusStrong orangeOrangeNo ascosporesNo ascosporesM. purpureusStrong orangeOrangeNo ascosporesNo ascosporesM. purpureusStrong orangeOrangeNo ascosporesNo ascosporesM. purpureusStrong orangeOrangeNo ascosporesNo ascosporesM. purpureusIight grayNo pigmentNo ascosporesNo ascosporesM. nunsporasIight grayNo pigmentIinpsoidalM. hunisporasOlive-brownNo pigmentIunateM. hunisporasIinverbrownNo pigmentIunateM. hunisporasIinverbrownNo pigmentIunateM. hunisporasIunateIunateIunateM. hunisporasIunateIunateIunateM. hunisporasIunateIunateIunateM. hunisporasIunateIunateIunateM. hunisporasIunateIunateIunateM. hunisporasIunateIunateIunateMonascus eremophilus$	SpeciesColor of myceliumPigment secretedShape of ascosporesSize of ascospores $M.$ floridanus $M.$ floridanusOlive-greenNo pigmentNo ascospores $5-7 \times 3-4.5  \mu m$ $M.$ ruberWhiteNo pigmentEllipsoidal $5-7 \times 3-4.5  \mu m$ $M.$ pilosusWhiteNo pigmentEllipsoidal $5-7 \times 3-4.5  \mu m$ $M.$ pilosusWhiteNo pigmentNo ascospores $5-7 \times 3-4.5  \mu m$ $M.$ pilosusWhiteNo pigmentNo ascospores $5-7 \times 3-4.5  \mu m$ $M.$ purpureusStrong orangeOrangeNo ascospores $5-7 \times 3-4.5  \mu m$ $M.$ purpureusLight grayNo pigmentNo ascospores $5-7 \times 3-4.5  \mu m$ $M.$ purpureusUnisporusSubglobose to ellipsoidal $3.7-4.7 \times 3.0-3.3  \mu m$ $M.$ sanguineusLight grayNo pigmentSubglobose to ellipsoidal $3.7-4.7 \times 3.0-3.3  \mu m$ $M.$ sanguineusOlive-brownNo pigmentLunate $5-7 \times 3-4.5  \mu m$ $M.$ unvisporusOlive-brownNo pigmentLunate $5-6 \times 2.7-3  \mu m$ $M.$ unvisporusOlive-brownNo pigmentClobose to ellipsoidal $5-6 \times 2.7-3  \mu m$ $Monascus eremophilusWhiteNo pigmentSubglobose to ellipsoidal5-6 \times 2.7-3  \mu mMonascus eremophilusWhiteNo pigmentSubglobose to ellipsoidal5-5 \times 5-5.6.3  \mu mWore made after 7 days ervent/n malt extract agar at 2.5°C. excent secretsSubglobose to ellipsoidal5-7 \times 5.5-6.3  \mu m$

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis

The sequences were aligned with CLUSTALX (Thompson et al. 1997). Phylogenetic relationships among the strains were estimated with PAUP 4.0b4a (Swofford 2000). For alignments with CLUSTALX, the gap opening cost and the gap extension cost were set between 2 and 16 in increments of 2. For estimation of the phylogenetic relationships among the strains, all nucleotides were unordered with equal weights. Gaps were considered as a fifth state, and a heuristic search was carried out with the branch swapping option using the tree-bisection-reconnection algorithm. Starting trees were obtained via stepwise addition, and branches of maximum length zero were allowed to collapse yielding polytomies.

The sequences (GenBank accession no. in parentheses) of Aspergillus anthodesmis NRRL 22884 (U17916), Penicillium inflatum NRRL 5179 (AF033393), Cephalotheca sulfurea (AF096188), Albertiniella polyporicola (AF096185), and Aporothielavia leptoderma (AF096186) were used as outgroup because they belong to the same order (Eurotiales) as the genus Monascus.

Maximum-likelihood analysis on the same data set was carried out using a heuristic search with empirical nucleotide frequency, transition/transversion ratio estimated via maximum likelihood from the minimum evolution tree and tree-bisection-reconnection branch swapping, and starting branch lengths obtained with the Rogers–Swofford approximation method. Rates for variable sites were assumed to be equal. Nucleotide frequencies were estimated from the dataset where A = 0.21, C = 0.25, G = 0.34, T = 0.20. No molecular clock was enforced.

# Results

**F** 1

Genomic DNAs of 66 strains of *Monascus* and *Xeromyces* were isolated. ATCC 16364, deposited as *M. pilosus*, exhibited strong DNase activity in the crude extract. Accordingly, only its RNAs were isolated, and this strain was excluded from the study. The sequences of the D1/D2 regions of the LSU rRNA genes of 65 strains were determined and aligned, and their evolutionary relationship was estimated with PAUP 4.0b4a (Fig. 1). The alignments with CLUSTALX with varying settings of gap opening cost and gap extension cost were identical. All sequence data were registered with the accession numbers AF364960 through AF365024 (see Table 1).

Sequence comparisons and comparative morphological observations determined the species identity for the unidentified strains. Three strains deposited as *Monascus* sp. (ATCC 16435, ATCC 16437, and ATCC 34570) were confirmed as *Monascus purpureus*. The other nine strains (ATCC 16772, ATCC 16773, ATCC 16774, ATCC 16775, ATCC 16433, ATCC 16434, ATCC 16370, ATCC 16966, and ATCC 16666) were identified as *M. ruber*, based on ascospore size, ascomata and conidia pigmentation, and molecular information on the D1/D2 region of the LSU

1 1

Fig. 1. A strict consensus phylogenetic tree obtained from five parsimonious trees of all 65 strains studied. The sequences (GenBank accession no. in parentheses) of Aspergillus anthodesmis NRRL 22884 (U17916), Penicillium inflatum NRRL 5179 (AF033393). Cephalotheca sulfurea (AF096188), Albertiniella polyporicola (AF096185), and Aporothielavia leptoderma (AF096186) were used as outgroup because they are closely related taxonomically with the genus Monascus. The number at the left side of each tree node is a bootstrap value based on a heuristic search of the data with 1000 bootstrap replications; it was 95% for the tree node of M. sanguineus, M. purpureus, M. ruber, M. eremophilus, and M. pilosus. Bootstrap values less than 50% are not shown. The consistency index, retention index, rescaled consistency index, and homoplasy index for this tree were 0.811, 0.854, 0.693, and 0.189, respectively



- 5 changes

rRNA genes. ATCC 13692, ATCC 16371, ATCC 16384, and ATCC 20657 were identified as *M. pilosus*. All strains of *M. kaoliang* (ATCC 46592, ATCC 46593, ATCC 46594, ATCC 46595, ATCC 46596, and ATCC 46597) had identical sequences with the type strain of *M. purpureus* (ATCC 16365). The sequences of ATCC 62949 and ATCC 96218 deposited as *M. pilosus* and *M. ruber*, respectively, were identical to *M. purpureus*. Furthermore, the color of the pigments excreted into the medium resembled *M. purpureus*. ATCC 16378 was reidentified as *M. ruber* on the basis of sequence homology and cultural characteristics. The sequence of ATCC 16436 deposited as *M. purpureus* matched that of *M. sanguineus*. This strain had colorless conidia and ascomata, and the size and pigmentation of the ascospores were similar to *M. purpureus*. *M. sanguineus* and *M. purpureus* differed by two nucleotides in the D1/D2 regions. The nucleotide sequence of *M. eremophilus* ATCC 62925, which grows in extremely dry conditions, differed from *M. purpureus* by only one nucleotide, implying its relatedness with *M. purpureus*, although a significant ecological separation and morphological and physiological differences exist between these two species.

The maximum-parsimony analyses of the 65 strains generated five most parsimonious trees. The strict consensus tree of these five clustered *M. ruber*, *M. pilosus*, *M. purpureus*, *M. eremophilus*, and *M. sanguineus* as one clade,

Fig. 2. Maximum-likelihood tree inferred from the same sequence data set used for the maximumparsimony analysis. The tree was obtained using a heuristic search with empirical nucleotide frequency, transition/transversion ratio estimated via maximum likelihood from the minimum evolution tree (the transition/ transversion ratio = 1.96) and tree-bisection-reconnection branch swapping (TBR), and starting branch lengths obtained using the Rogers-Swofford approximation method. The probability of nucleotide substitution per site is indicated by the scale bar. The numbers above branches are the frequencies of occurrence in 100 bootstrap replicates. The bootstrap value of the branch for Albertiniella polyporicola and Cephalotheca selfurea is 94%; values less than 50% are not shown



-0.005 substitutions/site

Aspergillus anthodesmis and Penicillum inflatum as a second clade, and Albertiniella polyporicola, Cephalotheca sulfurea, and Aporothielavia leptoderma as a third clade. Also, this tree placed Xeromyces bisporus, M. floridanus, and M. lunisporas at separate branches. In this analysis 378 of the 570 nucleotides were constant, and 140 nucleotides were parsimony informative; 52 variable nucleotides were parsimony uninformative.

The maximum-likelihood analysis using the same data set created a single phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2). It placed *M. pilosus*, *M. purpureus*, *M. ruber*, *M. eremophilus*, and *M. sanguineus* in one clade and the other *Monascus* species and *Xeromyces bisporus* in another.

## Discussion

A combination of sequence comparison and morphological analysis confirms the synonyms recognized by Hawksworth and Pitt (1983) based on morphological and cultural characters. *M. kaoliang, M. rubiginosus, M. anka, M. albidus, M. araneosus, and M. major* are synonyms of *M. purpureus; M. pubigerus, M. rubropunctatus, and M. serorubescens* are synonyms of *M. pilosus; and M. paxii, M. vitreus, and M. fuliginosus* are synonyms of *M. ruber.* Although *M. ruber* and *M. pilosus* have been widely accepted as distinct species, they have an identical sequence on the D1/D2 region of the LSU rRNA genes, indicating they are closely related. We observed remarkable differences between these two species in production of ascospores and conidia on malt extract agar, in extracellular pigment production, and in pigmentation on ascomata. On malt extract agar, *M. pilosus* produced few ascospores and conidia while *M. ruber* produced abundant spores. Ascomata of *M. pilosus* are hyaline and those of *M. ruber* are pigmented. Other characters at the molecular level may be useful to resolve the further distinction of these two species.

This investigation revealed unique nucleotides for specific species. The sequence comparison of *M. floridanus* and *M. pallens* detected three unique nucleotides common only to these two species. Counting from the 5'-end, we found an additional G as the 59th nucleotide instead of a gap, G as the 100th nucleotide instead of A. and G as the 557th nucleotide instead of A. This common molecular nucleotide information is the basis of the parsimonious phylogenetic relationship that places M. pallens and M. floridanus in the same clade in two of the five most parsimonious trees. *Xeromyces bisporus* also has five unique nucleotides with *M. lunisporas.* In addition, this investigation distinguished closely related species and expedited taxonomic identification of M. sanguineus, M. purpureus, M. eremophilus, M. ruber, and M. pilosus, which differed in one or two nucleotides. Otherwise, the sequence of ATCC 16436 was identical to that of *M. sanguineus*, whereas its colorless conidia, ascomata, and ascospore size were consistent with the description of *M. purpureus*.

Maximum-parsimony and maximum-likelihood analyses on the aligned data set suggest that the two genera, *Monascus* and *Xeromyces*, are monophyletic, justifying placement of both genera in the same family. The bootstrap value for the branch of *Monascus* and *Xeromyces* in Fig. 1 was 77%. However, *Xeromyces bisporus* is closely related to *M. lunisporas*, sharing five variable nucleotides. The issue of a separate genus for *Xeromyces bisporus* requires further investigation. These analyses have also placed *M. lunisporas*, *M. floridanus*, *M. pallens*, and *X. bisporus* in well-separated branches, confirming their taxonomic status as distinct species.

A further analysis of the ITS sequences of *M. purpureus* (cited as *M. anka*) IF0 30873 (AF458473), *M. purpureus* ATCC 16365 (AF458472), *M. ruber* ATCC 22080 (AF458470), *M. purpureus* (cited as *M. kaoliang*) ATCC 46596 (AF451859), and *M. pilosus* ATCC 16364 (AF451856) from GenBank also suggested a similar phylogenetic relationship among these strains. The similarity of ITS and D1/D2 sequences placed ATCC 22080 of *M. ruber* and ATCC 16364 of *M. pilosus* in one group and all three *M. purpureus* strains (IFO 30873, ATCC 16365, and ATCC 46596) in another. The former group differs from the latter in 2 of 502 nucleotides in the ITS sequence and in 1 nucleotide in the D1/D2 sequence.

In 1999, the phylogenetic relationship of 25 strains of *Monascus* isolated from red rice and sofu was estimated using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) with multiple markers (Lakrod et al. 1999). Species identity of most of these strains was not determined. Four ATCC

strains of *M. purpureus* included in this analysis were further divided into two groups. Results indicated that ATCC 16360 and ATCC 16365 were of one lineage and ATCC 26264 and ATCC 16427 belonged to another, although the D1/D2 sequences of these four strains of *M. purpureus* were identical in this study. Recently, Chaisrisook (2002) reported the presence of compatibility groups among the isolates of *M. purpureus*. However, this classification in regard to the compatibility group for the four ATCC strains was not consistent with the RAPD subgroups of Lakrod and coworkers (1999).

In this study, comparative analyses of the D1/D2 regions of the LSU rRNA genes and subsequent analyses of maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood on the data set have shed light on a number of issues concerning taxonomic and phylogenetic relationships, including identification, among strains and species of the genus *Monascus*. As we enter the era of multigene phylogenies, other sequence analyses at protein gene targets such as translation elongation factor 1-alpha, beta tubulin, RNA polymerases, chitinase subunits, and others need to be examined.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Dr. Tom Nerad, David Chalkley, and Jane Edwards for critically reading the manuscript and the anonymous reviewers whose critical comments and suggestions helped improve the paper. This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grants BIR-9420750 and DBI-9982243 to S.C.J.

# References

- Barnard EL, Cannon PF (1987) A new species of *Monascus* from pine tissues in Florida. Mycologia 79:479–484
- Cannon PF, Abdullah SK, Abbas BA (1995) Two new species of *Monascus* from Iraq, with a key to known species of the genus. Mycol Res 99:659–662
- Cenis JL (1992) Rapid extraction of fungal DNA for PCR amplification. Nucleic Acids Res 20:2380
- Chaisrisook C (2002) Mycelial reactions and mycelial compatibility groups of red rice mould (*Monascus purpureus*). Mycol Res 106:298–304
- Endo A, Komagata D, Shimada H (1986) Monacolin M, a new inhibitor of cholesterol biosynthesis. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 39:1670–1673
- Georgiev OI, Nikolaev N, Hadjiolov AA, Skryabin S, Zakharyev VM, Bayev AA (1981) The structure of the yeast ribosomal RNA genes.
  4. Complete sequence of the 25S rRNA gene from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nucleic Acids Res 9:6953–6958
- Hawksworth DL, Pitt JI (1983) A new taxonomy for *Monascus* based on cultural and microscopical characters. Aust J Bot 31:51–61
- Hocking AD, Pitt JI (1988) Two new species of xerophilic fungi and a further record of *Eurotium halophilicum*. Mycologia 80:82–88
- Jong SC, Edwards MJ (eds) (1996) ATCC catalogue of filamentous fungi, 19th edn. American Type Culture Collection, Rockville
- Juzlova P, Martinkova L, Kren V (1996) Secondary metabolites of the fungus *Monascus*: a review. J Ind Microbiol 16:163–170
- Lakrod K, Chaisrisook C, Yongsmith B, Skinner DZ (1999) RAPD analysis of genetic variation within a collection of *Monascus* spp. isolated from red rice (ang-kak) and sofu. Mycol Res 104:403–408
- O'Donnell K (1993) *Fusarium* and its near relatives. In: Reynolds DR, Taylor JW (eds) The fungal holomorph: mitotic, meiotic and pleomorphic speciation in fungal systematics. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 225–233
- Pitt JI, Hocking AD (1982) Food spoilage fungi. I. Xeromyces bisporus Fraser. CSIRO Food Res Q 42:1–6
- Swofford DL (2000) PAUP: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony, version 4.0b4a. Sinauer, Sunderland

- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The CLUSTALX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 25:4876–4882
- Udagawa S-i, Baba H (1998) *Monascus lunisporas*: a new species isolated from mouldy feeds. Cryptogam Mycol 19:269–276
- von Arx JA (1970) The genera of fungi sporulating in pure culture. Cramer Lehre